UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,736	05/02/2006	Li-Qun Xu	36-1987	5174
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 12/09/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	CONWAY, THOMAS A		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4182	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 May 2006.  2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 5/2/2006 is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)☒ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
THOMAS A. CONWAY		10/577,736	XU ET AL.					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exercises of time raps be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1 1300, into event, however, may a reply be limely filled.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum attatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (4) MONTH'S from the mating date of this communication.  Feature to reply will be set of constituted period for signy is specified above, the maximum attatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (4) MONTH'S from the mating date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any arrange and the maximum attatutory period will be set to be communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any arrange and the maximum attatutory period will be communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any arrange and the maximum attatutory period will be communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any arrange and expire SIX (4) MONTH'S from the mating date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any arrange and expire SIX (4) MONTH'S from the mating attack.  Status  **Status**  **Status**  **Status**  **Application is FiNAL.**  2b) This action is monthly filled in application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exp parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  **Disposition of Claims**  4) Claim(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Exhibition of the marker by available whole the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a rapky be timely filed  - Exhibition of regis is specified above, the maximum statutory puriod willapped and will expire king plant and trappies the mailing date of this communication of regis by section above, the maximum statutory puriod will play and will expire king plant and trappies the mailing date of this communication. Senior of simply filed the realizing date of this communication, senior filed in the realizing date of this communication. Senior filed in the realizing date of this communication, senior filed in the realizing date of this communication, senior filed in the realizing date of this communication. Senior filed in the realizing date of this communication, senior filed in the realizing date of this communication. Senior filed in the realizing date of this communication, senior filed in the realizing date of this communication.  1 □ Zim action is FINAL. 2 b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3 □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 □ Zim (s) □ Sis/are pending in the application.  4 □ Zim (s) □ Sis/are pending in the application.  4 □ Zim (s) □ Sis/are allowed.  5 □ Claim(s) □ Sis/are allowed.  5 □ Claim(s) □ Sis/are allowed.  6 □ Claim(s) □ Sis/are allowed.  6 □ Claim(s) □ Sis/are allowed.  7 □ Claim(s) □ Sis/are objected to by the Examiner.  10 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 □ The drawing(s) is blacked to by the Examiner.  10 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  11 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde		THOMAS A. CONWAY	4182					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extending to the margin be admissed the provision of 52 FR3 1-306, into event, however, may a reply be timely lifed.  - If 10 period for regly is specified above, the maximum statulory period will repper SIX (8) MONTHS from the matting date of this communication Failure for regly is specified above, the maximum statulory period will repper SIX (8) MONTHS from the matting date of this communication Failure for regly is specified above, the maximum statulory point Failure for regly will mit set or reserved period for region will. by statuse, cause the application become attainment of 15 to 15.5; 13.35 Failure for period will represent the matter of this communication, morn if timely filled, may reduce any control practice Failure for period will represent the matter of the communication, morn if timely filled, may reduce any control practice.  - Status  - Status  - Status - Status - Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  - Disposition of Claims  - Application of Claims  - Application of Siarre pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed (5) Claim(s) is/are allowed (6)		pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elementary of the map by a exability date of this communication.  Elementary of the property of the proper	• •							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 May 2006.  2a	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRUCTION</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing</li> </ul>	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s)	Status							
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 M	May 2006						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 5/2/2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	· <u> </u>							
Al) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 5/2/2006 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	7	<del></del>						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 5/2/2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-S808)  5) Motice of Intramplement Application	, <del></del>							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 5/2/2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 5/2/2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.							
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 5/2/2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).     a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:     1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Not(s)/Mail Date Paper Not(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 5/2/2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  Altachment(s)  5) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 5/2/2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 5/2/2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on \$\frac{57272006}{272006}\$ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 5/2/2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S8/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some column None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
a)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	, , ,							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)							
3) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application								

Art Unit: 4182

### **DETAILED ACTION**

It would be of great assistance to the Office if all incoming papers pertaining to a filed application carried the following items:

- 1. Application number (checked for accuracy, including series code and serial no.).
- 2. Group art unit number (copied from most recent Office communication).
- 3. Filing date.
- 4. Name of the examiner who prepared the most recent Office action.
- 5. Title of invention.
- 6. Confirmation number (See MPEP § 503).

### Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the instant application refers to the control program as "28" at page 8, line 33; and again at page 10, line 12. According to Figure 1, the control program is labeled as "31".
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the instant application makes reference to "the mask image obtained from the segmentation step 2.3". There is no step 2.3 listed in any of the figures. It is assumed that the applicant is actually referring to step 2.10 which does "store a "mask" of segmented pixels".

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 4182

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informality: claim 1.b) recites "...shadow and/or highlights;". The use of "and/or" is considered to be indefinite.

- 4. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informality: the instant claim recites "...at least on of a suite...". It is assumed that the word "on" is actually a misspelling of the word "one", and is being treated as such.
- 5. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informality: claim 5.c) recites "...step a) would be or are segmented...". The use of "would be" is considered to be a negative limitation.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows (see also MPEP 2106):

Art Unit: 4182

Nonfunctional descriptive material that does not constitute a statutory process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter and should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. Sec. 101. Certain types of descriptive material, such as music, literature, art, photographs and mere arrangements or compilations of facts or data, without any functional interrelationship is not a process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter. USPTO personnel should be prudent in applying the foregoing guidance. Nonfunctional descriptive material may be claimed in combination with other functional descriptive multi-media material on a computer-readable medium to provide the necessary functional and structural interrelationship to satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. Sec. 101. The presence of the claimed nonfunctional descriptive material is not necessarily determinative of nonstatutory subject matter. For example, a computer that recognizes a particular grouping of musical notes read from memory and upon recognizing that particular sequence, causes another defined series of notes to be played, defines a functional interrelationship among that data and the computing processes performed when utilizing that data, and as such is statutory because it implements a statutory process.

7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claim 3 recites "a computer program or a suite of computer programs which is considered nonfunctional descriptive material. Such nonfunctional descriptive material, in the absence of a functional interrelationship with a computer, does not constitute a statutory process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter and is thus non-statutory per se. Non-functional descriptive is non-statutory regardless of whether it is claimed as residing on a computer readable medium.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 4182

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Porikli et al. (Human Body Tracking by Adaptive Background Models and Mean-Shift Analysis, IEEE International Workshop on Performance Evaluation of Tracking and Surveillance, March 2003 (PETS-ICVS 2003), pages 1-9), hereafter referred to as "Porikli".

Regarding claims 1 and 3-5, Porikli discloses an image processing method for detecting objects within an input image, the image being composed of picture elements, the method comprising the steps of: a) segmenting picture elements representing a foreground object within the input image from those picture elements forming the image background using a first segmentation technique, wherein the picture elements segmented as foreground include elements representing a shadow or highlight of the object (Section 3, paragraph 1); and b) segmenting picture elements which have the characteristics of a shadow or highlight of an object from those picture elements representing the foreground object using at least one other segmentation technique adapted to detect shadows and/or highlights (Section 3); the method being characterised by further comprising the steps of: c) segmenting as foreground surrounding picture elements to those picture elements which are already segmented as foreground (Section 4, lines 7-12); d) repeating (Section 4, lines 12-13) step c) until picture

Art Unit: 4182

elements which were not segmented as foreground after step a) would be or are segmented as foreground (Section 4, paragraphs 1-3); and then e) detecting as objects groups of adjacent picture elements which have been segmented as foreground (Section 4, paragraph 4).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Porikli", in view of Sifakis et al. (Video Segmentation Using Fast Marching and Region Growing Algorithms, EURASIP Journal on Applied Signal Processing 2002:4, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, pages 379–388), hereafter referred to as "Sifakis".

Regarding claims 2 and 6, while Porikli does disclose all the limitations of claims 1 and 5, upon which claims 2 and 6 are dependent, Porikli fails to disclose the method of validating foreground pixel segmentation by comparison of the picture element with probability models relating to surrounding picture elements, wherein the

foreground segmentation is confirmed if at least half of the models indicate that the picture element is foreground.

Sifakis discloses a method of segmenting foreground and background pixels using a seeded region growing algorithm (Section 4.3) which basis its selection of region on probability models of neighboring pixels (S4.3.2, see also, Section 4, paragraph 2). As detailed by Sifakis, background and foreground segmentation takes into account inhomogeneity of regional characteristics, but at their local boundaries (at pixel neighborhood level) homogeneous similarities will allow for their discrimination (Section 4, paragraph 2). Regarding characteristics of neighboring pixels is a well known method of discriminating the probabilistic value of a pixel in question (determining which region it belongs to during segmentation).

While Sifakis doesn't specifically mention that the required validation limit needs to include confirmation from at least half of the models relating to the surrounding pixels to validate the pixel as foreground, the term half is a thresholding value and setting a threshold value is a well known heuristic method which results in a certain number of acceptable false positive foreground pixels.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to include in the method as outlined by Porikli, the capability to validate foreground pixel segmentation by comparison of the picture element with probability models relating to surrounding picture elements, wherein the foreground segmentation is confirmed if at least half of the models indicate

Art Unit: 4182

that the picture element is foreground, for the purpose of discriminating the foreground from the background.

### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yoshitaka et al. (Region-Growing Based Feature Extraction Algorithm for Tree-Like Objects, Visualization in Biomedical Computing, Springer Berlin/Heidelberg, Vol. 1131/1996, copyright 1996, pages 159-171) discloses background information regarding region growing and describes it to be used for segmentation, using a seed point and categorizes it as a morphological operation called 'closed-space dilation', in other words, a bounded dilation operation (Section 2, paragraph 2). Matsugu et al. (US 6,167,167) discloses an image extraction method which segments background and foreground, shadow removal and region growing based on characteristics of neighboring pixels.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THOMAS A. CONWAY whose telephone number is (571)270-5851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8AM 5PM EST.

Art Unit: 4182

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benny Tieu can be reached on 571-272-7490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas A. Conway/ Examiner, Art Unit 4182

/Benny Q Tieu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4182